

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/702,093	10/30/2000	Alison Salyer Bagwell	15260	7880	
75	90 03/25/2003				
Steven D. Flack, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. Patent Department			REDDICK,	REDDICK, MARIE L	
401 North Lake Neenah, WI 54			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713	16	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action -	09/702,093	BAGWELL ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Judy M. Reddick	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b):	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under 2) as set forth in		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	•				
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject.	etion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	l amendment		
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-			
10. Other:		Judy 4. Red Judy M. Reddick	Llick		
		Primary Examin r Art Unit: 1713			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment changes the scope of what is being claimed, which therefore requires further consideration. Applicant's arguments have not be not a considered because they are based upon amendments to the claims that will not be entered at this stage of the prosecution.